

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/880,779	06/15/2001	Christophe Vincent	SCHN: 002	7857
7590 01/26/2005		EXAMINER		
PARKHURST & WENDEL, L.L.P.			SHIMIZU, MATSUICHIRO	
Suite 210 1421 Prince Street			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Alexandria, VA 22314-2805			2635	

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/880,779	VINCENT ET AL.				
Advisory Addon	Examin r	Art Unit	<u> </u>			
	Matsuichiro Shimizu	2635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cov r sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED on 1/6/2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee may be been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under						
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l statutory period for reply originally set in onths after the mailing date of the final reje	the final Office action; or (2) as ection, even if timely filed, may r	set forth in			
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's</li> <li>CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF</li> </ol>	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a)  they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note beginning)	pelow);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed am	endment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT pl	lace the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were no	ewly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:		•				
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	3. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
10. Other:						

## Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/880,779

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Regarding applicant's argument (lines 7-9, page 2), the examin r maintains that amendm nded claim from "and" to "or" in claim 1 broardens claim 1, and therefore, the amended claim 1 requir s further consideration/or search, and will not b entered.

Continuation of 5. does NOT: Regarding applicant's argument (line 18 to line2, page 3), the Gastouniotis teaches detection means (col. 15, lines 50-62, detection is suggested by recceiver means 82 of remote station 6), a description means (col. 16, lines 3-14, querying identification via wakeup signal to detected automatic control equipment) and service means (col. 15, lines 46-49, meter reading information request associated with service from the detected automatic control equipment). Therefore, the applicant's response filed on 10/19/2004 will not be entered.

MICHAEL HORABIK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600